

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,060	09/12/2003	Tadayoshi Okada	A35998-074224.0118	1995
21003 . 75	90 10/12/2006		. EXAMINER	
BAKER & BOTTS 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			KATCHEVES, BASIL S	
44TH FLOOR	DDK I DILDII		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10112			3635	
			DATE MAILED: 10/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• 62.	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
	10/663,060	OKADA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
	Basil Katcheves	3635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	_			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ja	muan, 2006					
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		esecution as to the morits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims	A parto quayto, 1000 o.b. 11, 10					
		•				
4) Claim(s) <u>10-18</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	withom consideration.					
·						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-18</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	· clostica manuiscana					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	have been seed					
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		d in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		.d				
Coo the attached detailed Office action for a list t	or the certified copies flot receive	u.				
Attachmont/o						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	∧ □ 1-1	(DTO 440)				
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				
	. —					

DETAILED ACTION

Pending claims 10-18 are examined below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,412,913 to Daniels et al. as in the previous office action.

Regarding claim 10, Daniels discloses a high strength bolt connection (fig. 4), without fire protection, including at least one column (fig. 4: 100) and beams (fig. 4: 112). However, Daniels discloses the basic claim structure of the instant application but does not disclose specific component load bearing properties. Applicant fails to show criticality for specifically claimed load bearing properties, therefore it would have been an obvious design choice to use the dimensions such as specified in these claims for use in applications where specific load bearing properties are required.

Regarding claim 11, Daniels discloses the basic claim structure of the instant application but does not disclose specific load bearing force properties. Applicant fails to show criticality for specifically claimed load bearing force properties, therefore it would have been an obvious design choice to use the dimensions such as specified in these claims.

Regarding claim 12, Daniels discloses the use of bolts and nuts (column 6, line 16, washers (column 6, line 19). Daniels also discloses the bolts as being hexagonal (fig. 1: 45).

Claim 13 is rejected for reasons cited in the rejection of claim 12. In addition,

Daniels discloses the structure as made from steel (column 3, line 39). Applicant
should note that steel has an inherently high temperature strength.

Regarding claim 14, Daniels discloses the structure as made from steel (column 3, line 39). Applicant should note that steel has an inherently high temperature strength.

Regarding claims 15-18, Daniels discloses the basic claim structure of the instant application but does not disclose specific load bearing dimensions of the bolts.

Applicant fails to show criticality for specifically claimed load bearing bolt dimensions, therefore it would have been an obvious design choice to use the dimensions such as specified in these claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/11/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the bolts used in the instant application are patentable from the bolts used in the prior art. Applicant should note that the bolts have the same basic claim structure as those in the prior art. The applicant is stressing the functional capability of the bolts but does not claim the structure to achieve these results. The applicant is claiming desired results. The applicant must claim the structure which differentiates the bolts of the prior art and those of the application.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,060

Art Unit: 3635

Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Basil Katcheves whose telephone number is (571) 272-6846. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman, can be reached at (571) 272-6842.

BK

Basii Katcheves

1/18/06

Examiner AU 3635